

Technical Comments

TECHNICAL COMMENTS are brief discussions of papers previously published in this journal. They should not exceed 1500 words (where a figure or table counts as 200 words). The author of the previous paper is invited to submit a reply for publication in the same issue as the Technical Comment. These discussions are published as quickly as possible after receipt of the manuscripts. Neither AIAA nor its Editors are responsible for the opinions expressed by the authors.

Reply by the Authors to D. H. Wood

H. Dumitrescu* and V. Cardos†
*Institute of Statistics and Applied Mathematics,
RO 70700, Bucharest, Romania*

ALL of the questions raised by Wood in regard to our Note¹ are based on the doubtful premise that a complex viscous flow phenomenon, such as boundary-layer separation delay on rotating wings, can be assessed by means of simple potential flow analysis. Even if at that time, when the paper² was published, the stall delay phenomenon was too little known, it is hard to understand how the rotational effects, mainly related to separated flow, could be explained solely by inviscid nature arguments. Moreover, both blade and airfoil pressure distributions calculated in Ref. 2 cluster around one curve when they are normalized by the peak value. This shows that the effect of the pressure change from a (two-dimensional) airfoil to a (three-dimensional) blade is on the pressure level rather than on the chordwise pressure distribution and implicitly on the boundary-layer separation.

Recently, computational fluid dynamics tools have been employed to investigate this phenomenon.^{3,4} The calculations for a

rotating blade in attached as well as in stalled conditions showed that the three-dimensional and rotational effects are strictly due to the Coriolis force, which sucks fluid from the separation bubble, leading to a relative reduction of its volume. The reduction of the bubble volume produces a pressure level drop along the suction side of the airfoils without significant changes of pressure gradients (particularly for turbulent flow). Thus, the blade loading is increased.

Our more recent paper⁵ compared the rotational effects for inviscid flows with various pressure gradients and showed that as the blade adverse pressure gradient is decreased, the inner part of the blade without flow separation is increased.

In conclusion, it is our position that Wood is in error in stating without doubt that the inertial forces within the rotating blade boundary layer are of minor importance for stall delay.

References

- ¹Dumitrescu, H., and Cardos, V., "Rotational Effects on the Boundary-Layer Flow in Wind Turbines," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 42, No. 2, 2004, pp. 408–411.
- ²Wood, D. H., "A Three-Dimensional Analysis of Stall-Delay on a Horizontal-Axis Wind Turbine," *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, Vol. 37, 1991, pp. 1–14.
- ³Shen, W. Z., and Soerensen, J. N., "Quasi-3D Navier–Stokes Model for Rotating Airfoil," *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 150, 1999, pp. 518–548.
- ⁴Chavariopoulos, P. K., and Hansen, M. O. L., "Investigating Three-Dimensional and Rotational Effects on Wind Turbine Blades by Means of a Quasi-3D Navier–Stokes Solver," *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, Vol. 122, 2000, pp. 330–336.
- ⁵Dumitrescu, H., and Cardos, V., "Three-Dimensional Boundary Layer on Wind Turbine Blades," GAMM Annual Conf., Dresden, Germany, 2004.

S. Aggarwal
Associate Editor

Received 13 April 2004; accepted for publication 20 February 2005. Copyright © 2005 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0001-1452/05 \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

*Professor and Senior Researcher, P.O. Box 1-24, Department of Fluid Mechanics.

†Senior Researcher, P.O. Box 1-24, Department of Fluid Mechanics.